Reconstructing CMEs with Coordinated Imaging and In Situ Observations: Global Structure, Kinematics, and Implications for Space Weather Forecasting

### Ying Liu (liuxying@ssl.berkeley.edu)

A. Thernisien, J. A. Davies, J. G. Luhmann, A. Vourlidas, S. D. Bale, and R. P. Lin



## Outline

- What properties can we compare between imaging observations and in situ measurements?
- How do we determine the properties and make the comparison?
- What can we learn from the comparison for CME research and space weather forecasting?

# Forward modeling of CME images



- Geometric model with a rope morphology (density only);
- Calculate Thomson scattering and compare with images observed by STEREO A, B and SOHO;
- Can give the global structure of CMEs including rope orientation and propagation direction, which can then be compared with in situ measurements.

Thernisien et al., ApJ, 2006

### Geometric triangulation of imaging observations

#### Liu et al., ApJ, 2010a, 2010b



$$\begin{cases} \frac{r\sin(\alpha_{A} + \beta_{A})}{\sin \alpha_{A}} = d_{A} \\ \frac{r\sin(\alpha_{B} + \beta_{B})}{\sin \alpha_{B}} = d_{B} \implies \\ \beta_{A} + \beta_{B} = \gamma \end{cases}$$

 $\tan \beta_A = \frac{\sin \alpha_A \sin(\alpha_B + \gamma) - f \sin \alpha_A \sin \alpha_B}{\sin \alpha_A \cos(\alpha_B + \gamma) + f \cos \alpha_A \sin \alpha_B}$ 

• Can determine the propagation direction, radial distance and velocity continuously out to 1 AU;

• The predicted arrival time and velocity at 1 AU can then be compared with in situ data.

## In situ measurements and reconstruction



• Reconstruction with in situ data can give the flux rope orientation and cross section;

• A rough knowledge of propagation direction relative to the ecliptic plane may also be obtained;

• The in situ arrival time, velocity, propagation direction and flux-rope orientation can then be compared with those determined from imaging data.

> Hu & Sonnerup, 2002; Liu et al., ApJL, 2008

### Two views of the CME: Separation between STEREO A and B is about 40 deg.





### CME image forward modeling:

- Propagation direction: 2 deg east of the Sun-Earth line, and ±1 deg with respect to the ecliptic plane;
- Flux-rope tilt angle: 36 deg clockwise from the ecliptic.



Geometric triangulation: • The Nov 15 CME (2nd feature) has a propagation direction changing from eastward to westward and then staying at 1 deg west of the Sun-Earth line;

• Its speed first increases and then decreases;

• The other two CMEs may be too west to reach the Earth;

• Track fitting is also performed and compared to triangulation.

#### In situ measurements:

- An ICME was observed at the Earth and STEREO B but missed A;
- Only the Nov 15 CME shows the right arrival time and propagation direction.



#### In situ reconstruction:

• The reconstruction gives an axis tilt angle of about -1.4 deg (RTN) at Earth and -33.8 deg at B (recall the tilt angle given by image modeling is -36 deg);

• The maximum axial field is below the ecliptic, so the overall propagation direction is likely to be southward at 1 AU (recall  $\pm 1$  deg from image modeling).



### Two views of the CME: Separation between STEREO A and B is about 86.3 deg.





CME image forward modeling:

- Propagation direction: 10 deg west of the Sun-Earth line, and 8 deg with respect to the ecliptic plane;
- Flux-rope tilt angle: 53 deg clockwise from the ecliptic.



Geometric triangulation:

- Two tracks associated with the CME can be identified up to 50 deg;
- The propagation direction first changes from eastward to westward and then is roughly within 10 deg of the Sun-Earth line;
- The features can be continuously tracked up to 0.7 AU (without projection);
  Its speed first increases and then decreases.

#### In situ measurements:

- A magnetic cloud was observed at the Earth but likely missed STEREO A and B;
- Predicted arrival times (hatched area) of CME leading and trailing edges bracket the cloud and are coincident with enhanced density regions;
- Predicted radial velocities are also well confirmed by the in situ measurements;
- The flux rope cannot be imaged due to its low density.



#### In situ reconstruction:

• The reconstruction gives an axis tilt angle of about -6.4 deg (RTN) at Earth (recall -53 deg from image modeling);

• The maximum axial field is above the ecliptic, so the overall propagation direction is likely to be northward at 1 AU (recall 8 deg from image modeling).



## More events: CME catalog

http://sprg.ssl.berkeley.edu/~liuxying/CME\_catalog.htm



SECCHI-A 2010-02-12 HI2A 00:09:21 HI2B 00:09:47 HI1A 00:09:01 HI1B 00:09:27 COR2A 00:08:15 COR1A 00:05:18 EUVIB 00:16:41

• Movies made of composite images from SECCHI with FOVs to scale, which show CME evolution in virtually the entire Sun-Earth space;

• Time-elongation maps (J maps) along the ecliptic plane showing tracks associated with the CMEs;

• CME kinematics in the ecliptic plane (propagation direction, radial distance and velocity) derived from triangulation analysis (continuously from the Sun all the way out to 1 AU);

• Plots showing ICMEs/magnetic clouds (and shocks if any) observed in situ at 1 AU and comparison with triangulation analysis (on predicted arrival time and radial velocity);

• In situ reconstruction results (flux-rope cross section and orientation) from the Grad-Shafranov method.

### Accuracy of geometric triangulation predictions



• The arrival time prediction is good to a few hours;

- The predicted velocity also agrees with in situ measurements at 1 AU;
- Check out the catalog for details!

### Westward motion of CMEs at acceleration phase



- All these CMEs undergo a westward motion with respect to the Sun-Earth at their acceleration phase;
- We suggest this as a universal feature produced by the magnetic field connecting the Sun and CMEs and rotation of the Sun;
- The westward motion would mainly occur within the Alfven radius  $r_A$  when

 $\rho v^2 / 2 \le B^2 / 2 \mu_0$ 

• For the present CMEs

$$r_A \sim 10 - 20 r_s$$

### Recap of the main points

• CME propagation directions can be determined to a relatively good precision as shown by the consistency between different methods;

• The geometric triangulation technique shows a promising capability to link solar observations with corresponding in situ signatures at 1 AU and to predict CME arrival at the Earth;

• The flux-rope orientation derived from imaging observations may have a large uncertainty as indicated by the comparison with in situ reconstruction;

• The flux rope within CMEs, which has the most hazardous southward magnetic field, cannot be imaged at large distances due to expansion;

• We find that CMEs undergo a westward migration with respect to the Sun-Earth line at their acceleration phase, which we suggest as a universal feature produced by the magnetic field connecting the Sun and ejecta.

## Concept for future missions at L4 and L5



Five Lagrangian points of the Sun-Earth system:

- L4 and L5 have the same orbit as the Earth but lie at 60 degrees ahead and behind;
- L4 and L5 are resistant to gravitational perturbations;
- Apply the same triangulation concept to future missions at L4 and L5.



## Future work

### CME studies with coordinated imaging, Faraday rotation and in situ observations:

